1	Thomas F. Christensen, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar #2326	
2	Christensen Law Offices, LLC 1000 S. Valley View Blvd.	
3	Las Vegas, NV 89107	
4	T:702-870-1000	
5	courtnotices@injuryhelpnow.com Attorneys for Deft Kabul	
6		DISTRICT COURT
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	ADMIRAL INSURANCE COMPANY,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02060-GMN-MDC
9	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
10	vs. KABUL, INC. d/b/a FASTRIP PWC RENTALS,	EXTEND TIME FOR KABUL, INC. TO RESPOND TO
11	KABUL, INC. d/b/a FASTRIP FOOD STORE,	ADMIRAL'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE(#57)
12	Defendants.	(FIRST REQUEST)
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	KABUL, INC., dba FASTRIP PWC and	
19	FASTRIP FOOD STORE,	
	Counter/Cross/Third Party Claimant, v.	
20	ADMIRAL INSURANCE COMPANY,	
21	GREGG EIDSNESS FARM BUREAU	
22	FINANCIAL SERVICES, NBS Insurance Agency, Inc. aka NATIONWIDE	
	BROKERAGE SOLUTIONS, RT SPECIALTY,	
23	RSG SPECIALTY, LLC, RYAN SPECIALTY, LLC, ERIK W. FOX, WOLFE & WYMAN,	
24	LLP, KEVIN R. STOLWORTHY,	
25	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP, and DOES I	
26	through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,	
27	Counter/Cross/Third Party Defendants.	
28		
_0	II	

The parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree, subject to this Court's approval, to extend the time for Defendant/Counter/Cross/Third Party Claimant KABUL, Inc. ("Kabul") to respond to a Motion to Consolidate filed by Plaintiff/CounterDefendant Admiral Insurance Company ("Admiral") in an effort to promote overall efficiency and judicial economy. THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and request that the Court enter an order approving the proposed extension as set forth below.

WHEREAS, on or about June 10, 2025, Kabul filed its Answer and Counter/Cross/Third Party Complaint. (ECF#33) wherein additional parties and claims were added to the case.

WHEREAS, on July 22, 2025, Admiral filed a Special Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims Pursuant to NRS 41.660 (ECF#48), a Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to FRCP 12(B)(6) (ECF#49), and a Request for Judicial Notice (ECF#50). The responses to these Motions are currently due September 5, 2025. (See ECFs#59 and #60)

WHEREAS the parties hereto have met and conferred regarding extending the deadline to the pending Motion to Consolidate. (ECF#57)

WHEREAS this is the first request to extend the deadline and good cause exists based upon scheduling conflicts and the desire to consolidate responses for judicial efficiency. Lead Counsel for Plaintiff was out of the office visiting family at the time the Motion was filed; also, he has a cruise planned for 14 days in August and will have limited internet capabilities. The plaintiff's counsel's firm consists of only two lawyers. The other lawyer was away from the office during the last part of July and during the beginning and middle of August due to moving children to colleges across the country (and even abroad). Therefore, responding timely to the Motion to Consolidate is not practical or possible and it makes more sense to have all responses filed together on September 5, 2025. Additionally, the related case that Admiral is seeking to be